Jennifer Kirtley IP: 20026281 Deadline 5

Dear Planning Inspectors

I am writing to you in frustration at the way the Sizewell C DCO is progressing.

After reviewing the water documents, over the weekend from NWL, as to whether they could supply enough water for the ever-increasing needs of construction and crucially during operation, we were presented on Tuesday 3^{rd Aug} with yet another EDF consultation, running for a shorter 3- week period, and a change on the water strategy. It is quite unfathomable as to why the source of potable water had not been identified and agreed, as to whether the quantities were obtainable, long ago. As we all know, water is crucial to running a nuclear power station. I am in no doubt that potable water should be included in the DCO. Locals have voiced their concerns over many years.

There has been some press coverage on EDF promoting hydrogen from SZC, will this be another late submission from the Applicant I wonder. I feel so many vital issues on this destructive build have been presented far too late in the enquiry and the rush to get the project approved has put untold pressure on all those involved.

My other concern is the latest news concerning the EPR design. There have been 2 articles in the French press recently on the problems with EPR's throughout the world plus the widely reported problem and shutdown of the Taishan reactor in China. It was always assumed that HPC would be up and running before SZC was given the green light. The EPR design must be seen to work safely before SZC is given consent. The stakes are too high for the local environment on a White Elephant.

I include the links below.

https://www.blast-info.fr/articles/2021/nucleaire-epr2-le-feu-rouge-de-linstitut-de-surete-a-edf-y4TmgjhVQtmts-E5HAkKKA

https://journaldelenergie.com/nucleaire/combustible-nucleaire-empoisonne-edf/

Jennifer Kirtley